	Case 2:23-cv-00688-DAD-SCR Docume	nt 11 Filed 01/15/25 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	MUSTAFA YOUSIF AL BAYATI,	No. 2:23-cv-00688-DAD-SCR (PS)
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND
14	UR MENDOZA JADDOU, et al.,	RECOMMENDATIONS AND DISMISSING THIS ACTION
15	Defendants.	(Doc. No. 5)
16		
17	Plaintiff Mustafa Yousif Al Bayati, proceeding pro se, initiated this civil action on April	
18	13, 2023. (Doc. No. 1.) This matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to	
19	28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.	
20	On April 19, 2024, the then-assigned magistrate judge issued findings and	
21	recommendations recommending that the complaint be dismissed in its entirety due to plaintiff's	
22	failure to prosecute this action and failure to comply with a court order. (Doc. No. 9 at 3.)	
23	Specifically, the magistrate judge found that no defendant had appeared in this action and plaintiff	
24	had failed to respond to an order requiring him to show cause in writing why the case should not	
25	be dismissed due to his failure to effect service within the time provided by Rule 4 of the Federal	
26	Rules of Civil Procedure. (Id. at 2; Doc. No. 8.) The pending findings and recommendations	
27	were served upon plaintiff and contained notice that any objections thereto were to be filed within	
28	fourteen (14) days of the date of service. (Doc. No. 9 at 3.) To date, plaintiff has not filed any	
	1	

## objections to those findings and recommendations and the time in which to do so has passed. In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), the court has conducted a de novo review of the case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the court concludes that the pending findings and recommendations are supported by the record and proper analysis. Accordingly, 1. The findings and recommendations issued on April 19, 2024 (Doc. No. 9) are adopted in full; 2. The operative complaint (Doc. No. 1) is dismissed, without prejudice; and 3. The Clerk of the Court is directed to close this case. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: **January 14, 2025** UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE